

Benefit assessment according to §35a SGB V¹

EXTRACT

Project: A23-108 Version: 1.0 Status: 6 Feb 2024 DOI: 10.60584/A23-108_en

¹ Translation of Sections I 1 to I 6 of the dossier assessment *Baricitinib* (polyartikuläre juvenile idiopathische Arthritis) – Nutzenbewertung gemäß § 35a SGB V. Please note: This translation is provided as a service by IQWiG to English-language readers. However, solely the German original text is absolutely authoritative and legally binding.

Publishing details

Publisher

Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care

Topic

Baricitinib (polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis) – Benefit assessment according to §35a SGB V

Commissioning agency

Federal Joint Committee

Commission awarded on

14 November 2023

Internal Project No.

A23-108

DOI-URL

https://doi.org/10.60584/A23-108 en

Address of publisher

Institut für Qualität und Wirtschaftlichkeit im Gesundheitswesen Im Mediapark 8 50670 Köln Germany

Phone: +49 221 35685-0 Fax: +49 221 35685-1

E-mail: berichte@iqwig.de
Internet: www.iqwig.de

Medical and scientific advice

Jacqueline Detert, Rheumatological-immunological practice, Germany

IQWiG thanks the medical and scientific advisor for her contribution to the dossier assessment. However, the advisor was not involved in the actual preparation of the dossier assessment. The responsibility for the contents of the dossier assessment lies solely with IQWiG.

Patient and family involvement

No feedback of persons concerned was received within the framework of the present dossier assessment.

IQWiG employees involved in the dossier assessment

- Helmut Hörn
- Nadia Abu Rajab
- Ulrich Grouven
- Deborah Ingenhag-Reister
- Ulrike Lampert
- Prateek Mishra
- Daniela Preukschat
- Kathrin Wohlhöfner

Keywords

Baricitinib, Arthritis – Juvenile, Child – Preschool, Child, Adolescent, Benefit Assessment

6 Feb 2024

Part I: Benefit assessment

I Table of contents

		Page
ı	List of tables	I.3
ı	List of abbreviations	1.4
I 1	Executive summary of the benefit assessment	I.5
I 2	Research question	I.8
Ι3	Information retrieval and study pool	I.9
I 4	Results on added benefit	l.10
I 5	Probability and extent of added benefit	l.11
16	References for English extract	I.12

6 Feb 2024

I List of tables²

	Page
Table 2: Research questions of the benefit assessment of baricitinib	1.6
Table 3: Baricitinib – probability and extent of added benefit	1.7
Table 4: Research questions of the benefit assessment of baricitinib	I.8
Table 5: Baricitinib – probability and extent of added benefit	I.11

Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care (IQWiG)

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ Table numbers start with "2" as numbering follows that of the full dossier assessment.

I List of abbreviations

Abbreviation	Meaning	
ACT	appropriate comparator therapy	
bDMARD biologic DMARD		
csDMARD conventional synthetic DMARD		
DMARD	RD disease-modifying antirheumatic drug	
G-BA	Gemeinsamer Bundesausschuss (Federal Joint Committee)	
IQWiG Institut für Qualität und Wirtschaftlichkeit im Gesundheitswesen (Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care)		
MTX	methotrexate	
RCT	randomized controlled trial	
RT-	rheumatoid factor negative	
RT+	rheumatoid factor positive	
SGB	Sozialgesetzbuch (Social Code Book)	

I 1 Executive summary of the benefit assessment

Background

In accordance with § 35a Social Code Book (SGB) V, the Federal Joint Committee (G-BA) has commissioned the Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care (IQWiG) to assess the benefit of the drug baricitinib. The assessment is based on a dossier compiled by the pharmaceutical company (hereinafter referred to as the "company"). The dossier was sent to IQWiG on 14 November 2023.

Research question

Aim of the present report is the assessment of the added benefit of baricitinib in comparison with the appropriate comparator therapy (ACT) in children and adolescents aged 2 years and older with active polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis (rheumatoid factor positive [RF+] or negative [RF-] polyarthritis and extended oligoarthritis) who have had an inadequate response or intolerance to one or more conventional synthetic or biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs). Baricitinib may be used as monotherapy or in combination with methotrexate (MTX).

The research questions shown in Table 2 result from the ACT specified by the G-BA.

6 Feb 2024

Table 2: Research questions of the benefit assessment of baricitinib

Research question	Therapeutic indication	ACT ^a
1	Children and adolescents aged 2 years and older with active polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis (RF+ or RF-polyarthritis and extended oligoarthritis) who have had an inadequate response or intolerance to 1 or more conventional synthetic DMARDs ^b	A biologic DMARD (bDMARD) (adalimumab or etanercept or golimumab or tocilizumab) in combination with MTX; if applicable as monotherapy under consideration of the respective approval status in case of MTX intolerance or unsuitability
2	Children and adolescents aged 2 years and older with active polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis (RF+ or RF-polyarthritis and extended oligoarthritis) who have had an inadequate response or intolerance to 1 or more bDMARDs ^b	A bDMARD (abatacept or adalimumab or etanercept or golimumab or tocilizumab) in combination with MTX; if applicable as monotherapy under consideration of the respective approval status in case of MTX intolerance or unsuitability depending on prior therapy ^c

- a. Presented is the respective ACT specified by the G-BA.
- b. According to the G-BA, it is assumed that the patients covered by the therapeutic indication are not (no longer) eligible for (symptomatic) treatment with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and/or glucocorticoids alone. The use of glucocorticoids (systemic and/or intra-articular) should be possible as part of a relapse therapy.
- c. It is assumed that when selecting the comparator, a switch is made to a bDMARD that has not yet been used as part of the previous therapy. Unchanged continuation of an inadequate (pre)treatment does not correspond to the ACT.

ACT: appropriate comparator therapy; DMARD: disease-modifying antirheumatic drug; G-BA: Federal Joint Committee; MTX: methotrexate; NSAID: nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug

The company followed the G-BA's specification of the ACT.

The assessment is conducted by means of patient-relevant outcomes on the basis of the data provided by the company in the dossier. Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) with a minimum duration of 24 weeks are used for the derivation of added benefit. This concurs with the company's inclusion criteria.

Results

Concurring with the company, the check for completeness of the study pool identified no directly comparative RCT for the comparison of baricitinib versus the ACT.

Results on added benefit

For baricitinib for the treatment of children and adolescents aged 2 years and older with active polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis (RF+ or RF- polyarthritis and extended oligoarthritis) who have previously had an inadequate response or intolerance to 1 or more csDMARDs or bDMARDs, there are no suitable data for the assessment of the added benefit over the ACT for either of the two research questions. For both research questions, there was no hint of

6 Feb 2024

added benefit of baricitinib in comparison with the ACT; an added benefit is therefore not proven.

Probability and extent of added benefit, patient groups with therapeutically important added benefit³

Table 3 presents a summary of the probability and extent of the added benefit of baricitinib.

Table 3: Baricitinib – probability and extent of added benefit

Research question	Therapeutic indication	ACT ^a	Probability and extent of added benefit
1	Children and adolescents aged 2 years and older with active polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis (RF+ or RF-polyarthritis and extended oligoarthritis) who have had an inadequate response or intolerance to 1 or more conventional synthetic DMARDs (csDMARDs) ^b	A bDMARD (adalimumab or etanercept or golimumab or tocilizumab) in combination with MTX; if applicable as monotherapy under consideration of the respective approval status in case of MTX intolerance or unsuitability	Added benefit not proven
2	Children and adolescents aged 2 years and older with active polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis (RF+ or RF-polyarthritis and extended oligoarthritis) who have had an inadequate response or intolerance to 1 or more bDMARDs ^b	A bDMARD (abatacept or adalimumab or etanercept or golimumab or tocilizumab) in combination with MTX; if applicable as monotherapy under consideration of the respective approval status in case of MTX intolerance or unsuitability depending on prior therapy ^c	Added benefit not proven

a. Presented is the respective ACT specified by the G-BA.

ACT: appropriate comparator therapy; DMARD: disease-modifying antirheumatic drug; G-BA: Federal Joint Committee; MTX: methotrexate; NSAID: nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug

The G-BA decides on the added benefit.

b. According to the G-BA, it is assumed that the patients covered by the therapeutic indication are not (no longer) eligible for (symptomatic) treatment with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and/or glucocorticoids alone. The use of glucocorticoids (systemic and/or intra-articular) should be possible as part of a relapse therapy.

c. It is assumed that when selecting the comparator, a switch is made to a bDMARD that has not yet been used as part of the previous therapy. Unchanged continuation of an inadequate (pre)treatment does not correspond to the ACT.

³

³ On the basis of the scientific data analysed, IQWiG draws conclusions on the (added) benefit or harm of an intervention for each patient-relevant outcome. Depending on the number of studies analysed, the certainty of their results, and the direction and statistical significance of treatment effects, conclusions on the probability of (added) benefit or harm are graded into 4 categories: (1) "proof", (2) "indication", (3) "hint", or (4) none of the first 3 categories applies (i.e., no data available or conclusions 1 to 3 cannot be drawn from the available data). The extent of added benefit or harm is graded into 3 categories: (1) major, (2) considerable, (3) minor (in addition, 3 further categories may apply: non-quantifiable extent of added benefit, added benefit not proven, or less benefit). For further details see [1,2].

I 2 Research question

Aim of the present report is the assessment of the added benefit of baricitinib in comparison with the ACT in children and adolescents aged 2 years and older with active polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis (RF+ or RF- polyarthritis and extended oligoarthritis) who have had an inadequate response or intolerance to one or more csDMARDs or bDMARDs. Baricitinib may be used as monotherapy or in combination with MTX.

The research questions shown in Table 4 result from the ACT specified by the G-BA.

Table 4: Research questions of the benefit assessment of baricitinib

Research question	Therapeutic indication	ACT ^a
1	Children and adolescents aged 2 years and older with active polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis (RF+ or RF- polyarthritis and extended oligoarthritis) who have had an inadequate response or intolerance to 1 or more conventional synthetic DMARDs ^b	A bDMARD (adalimumab or etanercept or golimumab or tocilizumab) in combination with MTX; if applicable as monotherapy under consideration of the respective approval status in case of MTX intolerance or unsuitability
2	Children and adolescents aged 2 years and older with active polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis (RF+ or RF- polyarthritis and extended oligoarthritis) who have had an inadequate response or intolerance to 1 or more bDMARDs ^b	A bDMARD (abatacept or adalimumab or etanercept or golimumab or tocilizumab) in combination with MTX; if applicable as monotherapy under consideration of the respective approval status in case of MTX intolerance or unsuitability depending on prior therapy ^c

- a. Presented is the respective ACT specified by the G-BA.
- b. According to the G-BA, it is assumed that the patients covered by the therapeutic indication are not (no longer) eligible for (symptomatic) treatment with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and/or glucocorticoids alone. The use of glucocorticoids (systemic and/or intra-articular) should be possible as part of a relapse therapy.
- c. It is assumed that when selecting the comparator, a switch is made to a bDMARD that has not yet been used as part of the previous therapy. Unchanged continuation of an inadequate (pre)treatment does not correspond to the ACT.

ACT: appropriate comparator therapy; DMARD: disease-modifying antirheumatic drug; G-BA: Federal Joint Committee; MTX: methotrexate; NSAID: nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug

The company followed the G-BA's specification of the ACT.

The assessment is conducted by means of patient-relevant outcomes on the basis of the data provided by the company in the dossier. Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) with a minimum duration of 24 weeks are used for the derivation of added benefit. This concurs with the company's inclusion criteria.

13 Information retrieval and study pool

The study pool of the assessment was compiled on the basis of the following information:

Sources of the company in the dossier:

- study list on baricitinib (status: 7 September 2023)
- bibliographical literature search on baricitinib (last search on 7 September 2023)
- search in trial registries/trial results databases for studies on baricitinib (last search on 7
 September 2023)
- search on the G-BA website for baricitinib (last search on 7 September 2023)

To check the completeness of the study pool:

 search in trial registries for studies on baricitinib (last search on 27 November 2023); for search strategies, see I Appendix A of the full dossier assessment

Concurring with the company, the check for completeness of the study pool identified no RCT for none of the two research questions for the comparison of baricitinib versus the ACT.

In Module 3 A, the company presents the results of the label-enabling study I4V-MC-JAHV (JUVE-BASIS) [3]. The JUVE-BASIS study included patients aged 2 to 17 years inclusively with active juvenile idiopathic arthritis of the subtypes polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis, extended oligoarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis, juvenile psoriatic arthritis and enthesitis-associated arthritis who had previously responded inadequately to or were intolerant of 1 or more csDMARDS or bDMARDs. Initially, all patients received baricitinib for 12 weeks, followed by a double-blind treatment phase lasting up to 32 weeks, during which patients with a response were randomly assigned to further treatment with baricitinib or placebo. Under certain conditions, MTX, other csDMARDS, oral corticosteroids, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and analgesics were permitted as concomitant therapy. The use of bDMARDs was not allowed. Patients with relapse could discontinue the randomized phase and switch to an open-label extension study with treatment with baricitinib. Primary outcome was the time to relapse.

As can be seen from the design, the JUVE-BASIS study does not allow a comparison of treatment with baricitinib versus treatment with the ACT and is therefore not included in the benefit assessment. This concurs with the company's approach.

6 Feb 2024

14 Results on added benefit

For baricitinib for the treatment of children and adolescents aged 2 years and older with active polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis (RF+ or RF- polyarthritis and extended oligoarthritis) who have previously had an inadequate response or intolerance to 1 or more csDMARDs or bDMARDs, there are no suitable data for the assessment of the added benefit over the ACT for either of the two research questions. For both research questions, there was no hint of added benefit of baricitinib in comparison with the ACT; an added benefit is therefore not proven.

15 Probability and extent of added benefit

The result of the assessment of the added benefit of baricitinib in comparison with the ACT is summarized in Table 5.

Table 5: Baricitinib – probability and extent of added benefit

Research question	Therapeutic indication	ACT ^a	Probability and extent of added benefit
1	Children and adolescents aged 2 years and older with active polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis (RF+ or RF- polyarthritis and extended oligoarthritis) who have had an inadequate response or intolerance to 1 or more csDMARDs ^b	A bDMARD (adalimumab or etanercept or golimumab or tocilizumab) in combination with MTX; if applicable as monotherapy under consideration of the respective approval status in case of MTX intolerance or unsuitability	Added benefit not proven
2	Children and adolescents aged 2 years and older with active polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis (RF+ or RF- polyarthritis and extended oligoarthritis) who have had an inadequate response or intolerance to 1 or more bDMARDs ^b	A bDMARD (abatacept or adalimumab or etanercept or golimumab or tocilizumab) in combination with MTX; if applicable as monotherapy under consideration of the respective approval status in case of MTX intolerance or unsuitability depending on prior therapy ^c	Added benefit not proven

a. Presented is the respective ACT specified by the G-BA.

ACT: appropriate comparator therapy; DMARD: disease-modifying antirheumatic drug; G-BA: Federal Joint Committee; MTX: methotrexate; NSAID: nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug

The assessment described above concurs with that of the company.

The G-BA decides on the added benefit.

b. According to the G-BA, it is assumed that the patients covered by the therapeutic indication are not (no longer) eligible for (symptomatic) treatment with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and/or glucocorticoids alone. The use of glucocorticoids (systemic and/or intra-articular) should be possible as part of a relapse therapy.

c. It is assumed that when selecting the comparator, a switch is made to a bDMARD that has not yet been used as part of the previous therapy. Unchanged continuation of an inadequate (pre)treatment does not correspond to the ACT.

I 6 References for English extract

Please see full dossier assessment for full reference list.

The reference list contains citations provided by the company in which bibliographical information may be missing.

- 1. Institut für Qualität und Wirtschaftlichkeit im Gesundheitswesen. Allgemeine Methoden; Version 7.0 [online]. 2023 [Accessed: 06.10.2023]. URL: https://www.iqwig.de/methoden/allgemeine-methoden version-7-0.pdf.
- 2. Skipka G, Wieseler B, Kaiser T et al. Methodological approach to determine minor, considerable, and major treatment effects in the early benefit assessment of new drugs. Biom J 2016; 58(1): 43-58. https://doi.org/10.1002/bimj.201300274.
- 3. Ramanan AV, Quartier P, Okamoto N et al. Baricitinib in juvenile idiopathic arthritis: an international, phase 3, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, withdrawal, efficacy, and safety trial. Lancet 2023; 402(10401): 555-570. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(23)00921-2.

The full report (German version) is published under https://www.iqwig.de/en/projects/a23-108.html.